

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

February 6, 2015

John Chevedden olmsted7p@earthlink.net

Re: BorgWarner Inc.

Incoming letter dated January 27, 2015

Dear Mr. Chevedden:

This is in response to your letter dated January 27, 2015 concerning the shareholder proposal you submitted to BorgWarner. On December 23, 2014, we issued a letter expressing our informal view that BorgWarner could exclude your proposal from the proxy materials for its upcoming annual meeting based on Exchange Act rule 14a-8(i)(9). You have asked us to reconsider our position.

The Division has reconsidered its position. On January 16, 2015, Chair White directed the Division to review the rule 14a-8(i)(9) basis for exclusion. The Division subsequently announced, on January 16, 2015, that in light of this direction the Division would not express any views under rule 14a-8(i)(9) for the current proxy season. Accordingly, we express no view concerning whether BorgWarner may exclude the proposal under rule 14a-8(i)(9).

Copies of all of the correspondence on which this response is based will be made available on our website at http://www.sec.gov/divisions/corpfin/cf-noaction/14a-8.shtml. For your reference, a brief discussion of the Division's informal procedures regarding shareholder proposals is also available at the same website address.

Sincerely,

Jonathan A. Ingram Deputy Chief Counsel

cc: Richard E. Baltz
Arnold & Porter LLP
richard.baltz@aporter.com



UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

February 6, 2015

John Chevedden olmsted7p@earthlink.net

Re:

Illinois Tool Works Inc.

Incoming letter dated January 28, 2015

Dear Mr. Chevedden:

This is in response to your letter dated January 28, 2015 concerning the shareholder proposal you submitted to ITW. On December 23, 2014, we issued a letter expressing our informal view that ITW could exclude your proposal from the proxy materials for its upcoming annual meeting based on Exchange Act rule 14a-8(i)(9). You have asked us to reconsider our position.

The Division has reconsidered its position. On January 16, 2015, Chair White directed the Division to review the rule 14a-8(i)(9) basis for exclusion. The Division subsequently announced, on January 16, 2015, that in light of this direction the Division would not express any views under rule 14a-8(i)(9) for the current proxy season. Accordingly, we express no view concerning whether ITW may exclude the proposal under rule 14a-8(i)(9).

Copies of all of the correspondence on which this response is based will be made available on our website at http://www.sec.gov/divisions/corpfin/cf-noaction/14a-8.shtml. For your reference, a brief discussion of the Division's informal procedures regarding shareholder proposals is also available at the same website address.

Sincerely,

Jonathan A. Ingram Deputy Chief Counsel

cc:

Janet O. Love

Illinois Tool Works Inc.

jlove@itw.com